|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Beginning** | **Developing** | **Accomplished** | **Exemplary** |
| Research process, strategies, & selection of sources; prior knowledge  *(How did you find your information? How did you address any bias you already had?)* | * Research question could be better introduced * Database, sources, and/or keywords are hard to find on the poster or through conversation * Prior knowledge is solely opinion (bias) | * A search strategy is evident but not explained * Limited keyword development/exploration * Resources are largely from Google, similar in content/source type * Personal bias may interfere with ability to balance opinion with findings of selected sources | * Search strategy is explained * Keyword development influenced sources * Discipline-specific sources are used (e.g., databases, journals) * Personal bias balanced with knowledge gained from sources | **Meets all "Accomplished" criteria** **and may have**:   * Used bibliography from sources to find more resources * Recognized & used impact factor (via Web of Science or Google Scholar) * Browsed through key journals in field * Controlled vocabulary or other advanced database features used * Acknowledged personal biases |
| Source integration  *(How did you use your information?)* | * Arguments are not supported with evidence * Research was done in isolation without considerations of all aspects of topic or related fields * Lack of understanding of the topic | * Sources do not support argument or provide appropriate evidence | * Sources are integrated to support arguments and provide evidence * Some connection is made between the topic as discussed in professional fields | * Sources are fully integrated to support or contextualize arguments * Clear connection of how work adds to the scholarly conversation |
| Attributions & Citations  *(Did you give credit?)* | * Poster lacks attributions or citations for sources and visual elements | * Some sources and visual elements are given attribution, but are not consistent and some are missing entirely | * While all sources and visual elements are given attribution, there are some inconsistencies | * All sources and visual elements are cited in a consistent format and are complete throughout |

**Be prepared to respond to these, or similar, questions:**

**General**

* What led you to be interested in this topic?

**How did you find your information?**

* What were your research questions and how did you decide on them?
* How did you decide what sources to use?
* How did you find the information you used?
* What kind of prior knowledge/bias did you already have about this topic?

**How did you use your information?**

* Did any of your sources show you new ways of looking at your topic?
* How do you think your work adds to the research on this topic?

**Did you give credit?**

* What citation style did you choose for this project, and why?
* How did you find any images you used?